

Density of Orbits in Complex Dynamics

J. E. Fornæss, B. Stensønes

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $F : \mathbb{C}_0^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_0^m$ be a germ of a holomorphic map with $F(0) = 0, F'(0) = \text{Id}$. Dominique Cerveau ([C]) asked whether F can have a dense orbit. More precisely, does there exist a small ball $\mathbf{B}(0, \delta) = \mathbf{B}_\delta^m = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^m, \|z\| < \delta\}$ where F is defined and a sequence $S = \{p_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset \mathbf{B}(0, \delta)$, $F(p_n) = p_{n+1} \forall n$, so that $S \cap \mathbf{B}(0, \epsilon)$ is dense in some smaller ball $\mathbf{B}(0, \epsilon), 0 < \epsilon < \delta$. Our main result is that this is not possible. We prove in fact a more general result. Recall that a domain Ω in $\mathbb{C}^m$ is said to have a Lipschitz boundary if we can locally write, after a holomorphic rotation of coordinates, $\Omega = \{\text{Im} z_m < r(z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}, \text{Re} z_m)\}$ where $|r(x) - r(y)| \leq C\|x - y\|$, the constant C is called a Lipschitz constant for r .

MAIN THEOREM 1.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be a domain with a Lipschitz boundary. Suppose that $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ is a holomorphic map and let $V \subset \Omega$ be a nonempty open subset. Then F does not have a dense orbit in V , i.e. there does not exist a sequence $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset \Omega$ for which $F(p_n) = p_{n+1}$ for all n and $\{p_n\} \cap V$ is dense in V .*

The condition that Ω has Lipschitz boundary is not necessary, see Remark 5.4. However, the following theorem shows that the result is false if we have no boundary conditions.

THEOREM 1.2. *There is a bounded domain U in $\mathbb{C}^m$ which is biholomorphic to the unit ball and a holomorphic map $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ with a dense orbit. So there is a dense sequence $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset U$ with $F(p_n) = p_{n+1}$ for all n .*

The proofs depend on two results which are of independent interest.

THEOREM 1.3. *Suppose that $\Omega \subset \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is a domain with Lipschitz boundary. Then if $p \in \partial\Omega$ there are two not mutually exclusive possibilities:*

- (i) *The point p is in the envelope of holomorphy of Ω .*
- (ii) *The point p is in a local peak set for plurisubharmonic functions, i.e. there exists a neighborhood U of p and a continuous function $\rho : U \cap \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that $\rho < 0$ on $U \cap \Omega$ and ρ is plurisubharmonic on $U \cap \Omega$. Moreover, $\rho(p) = 0$.*

THEOREM 1.4. *Let M^m be an m -dimensional Hausdorff connected complex manifold with countable topology. Then there exists a biholomorphic map $F : \mathbf{B}^m(0, 1) \rightarrow U$ from the unit ball onto an open subset $U \subset M$ so that $M \setminus U$ has zero volume.*

We prove Theorem 1.4 in Section 2. The proof relies heavily on previous joint work of the first author and E. L. Stout. They proved, [FS1], that Theorem 1.4 is valid if one replaces the ball with the polydisc of the same dimension. They also proved covering theorems by balls, [FS2], in arbitrary complex manifolds. We would like to thank E. L. Stout for valuable remarks during the work with Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 1.3 is proved in Section 3. The two main ingredients is an estimate of the local envelope of holomorphy of a domain with Lipschitz boundary together with the result by Demailly [D] that Lipschitz pseudoconvex domains are hyperconvex, *i.e.* that they allow a bounded plurisubharmonic exhaustion function. The key result about envelopes of holomorphy is the following:

THEOREM 1.5. *Let $r(z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}, \operatorname{Re}(z_m))$ be a real-valued Lipschitz function on $\mathbb{C}^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ with Lipschitz constant C . Set*

$$\Omega := \{ \operatorname{Im}(z_m) < r(z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}, \operatorname{Re}(z_m)) \}.$$

Then the envelope of holomorphy $\tilde{\Omega}$ of Ω is schlicht and is of the form $\tilde{\Omega} := \{ \operatorname{Im}(z_m) < \tilde{r}(z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}, \operatorname{Re}(z_m)) \}$, where $\tilde{r} \geq r$ is a Lipschitz function with the same Lipschitz constant or $\tilde{r} \equiv \infty$.

We prove Theorem 1.2 in Section 4. The proof goes by an explicit construction of an expanding map defined on a biholomorphic image of the polydisc, and then using Theorem 1.4 to find a dense open subset in this domain, biholomorphic to the ball.

Finally we prove the Main Theorem in Section 5 by contradiction. A key step is to use Theorem 1.3 to show that the iterates F^n are well defined on V with image contained in $\tilde{\Omega}$. The key point is to show that $F^n(V)$ is contained in $\tilde{\Omega}$ so that F^{n+1} has a holomorphic extension. This allows us to use normal families arguments.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

To prove Theorem 1.4 it suffices by Theorem 1 of [FS1] to assume that $M = \Delta^m$. In fact we will prove the Theorem in the slightly more general case, when $M = U$, a connected open set in $\mathbb{C}^m$. This is anyhow necessary as we change U during the proof.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let U be a connected open set in $\mathbb{C}^m$. Suppose $F_j : \mathbf{B}_{r_j}^m \rightarrow U, j = 1, 2$ are 1 – 1 holomorphic maps with $F_1(\mathbf{B}_{r_1}^m) \cap F_2(\mathbf{B}_{r_2}^m) = \emptyset$. Let $0 < s < 1$. Then there exists a 1 – 1 holomorphic map $F_0 : \mathbf{B}_1^m \rightarrow U$ so that $F_0(\mathbf{B}_1^m) \supset F_1(\mathbf{B}_{sr_1}^m) \cup F_2(\mathbf{B}_{\frac{sr_2}{32}}^m)$*

Proof. If a is a real number, we shall understand by $\mathbf{a}$ the point $(a, 0, \dots, 0)$ in $\mathbb{C}^m$. Also, for a positive number t , let K_t be the union of the closed ball $\overline{\mathbf{B}}_1^m$, the closed ball $\mathbf{4} + \overline{\mathbf{B}}_2^m$ and the straight line segment $[0, \mathbf{4}]$. The set K_t is thus a certain compact subset of $\mathbb{C}^m$, which is polynomially convex when $t < 3$. Fix a number $\sigma \in (s, 1)$. Let $p \in F_1(b\mathbf{B}_{\sigma r_1}^m)$ be the point $F_1(\sigma \mathbf{r}_1)$ and $q \in F_2(b\mathbf{B}_{\sigma r_2}^m)$ the point $F_2(-\sigma \mathbf{r}_2)$. Let λ be a smooth arc in U that connects p and q and is otherwise disjoint from $F_1(\overline{\mathbf{B}_{\sigma r_1}^m}) \cup F_2(\overline{\mathbf{B}_{\sigma r_2}^m})$. Choose a smooth parametrization $f : [1, 2] \rightarrow \lambda$ that takes $\mathbf{1}$ to the point p and $\mathbf{2}$ to q . The map $G : K_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ is defined by

$$G(z) = \begin{cases} F_1(\sigma r_1 z) & \text{if } z \in \overline{\mathbf{B}}_1^m \\ F_2(\frac{\sigma r_2}{2}(z - \mathbf{4})) & \text{if } z \in \mathbf{4} + \overline{\mathbf{B}}_2^m \\ f(x) & \text{if } z = \mathbf{x} \text{ with } x \in [1, 2]. \end{cases}$$

With the proper choices of λ and f , the function G defined in this way is of class $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ on $[0, \mathbf{4}]$. It is one-to-one on K_2 . The function G can be approximated in the $\mathcal{C}^1$ sense on the set K_2 by polynomial maps $P : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ [FS1]. If P is such a

map that approximates G well enough, then P will be one-to-one on K_2 and can be chosen to be regular on a neighborhood of K_2 . It will satisfy also the conditions

- a) $P(K_2) \subset U$,
- b) $P(K_2) \supset F_1(\mathbf{B}_{sr_1}^m) \cup F_2(\mathbf{B}_{sr_2}^m)$, and
- c) $P\left(4 + \mathbf{B}_{\frac{1}{16}}^m\right) \supset F_2\left(\mathbf{B}_{\frac{sr_2}{32}}^m\right)$.

Let $V \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be a neighborhood of K_2 on which P is 1-1. Such neighborhoods exist, because P is 1-1 on the compact set K_2 and is regular on a neighborhood of it. By Lemma 1 of [FS2], there is a domain $B \subset V$ that is a neighborhood of $K_{\frac{1}{16}}$ and that is biholomorphically equivalent to $\mathbf{B}_1^m$.

The Lemma is proved. ■

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let U be a connected open set in $\mathbb{C}^m$. Suppose $F_j : \mathbf{B}_{r_j}^m \rightarrow U, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ are finitely many 1-1 holomorphic maps with $F_i(\mathbf{B}_{r_i}^m) \cap F_j(\mathbf{B}_{r_j}^m) = \emptyset \forall i \neq j$. Let $0 < s < 1$. Then there exists a 1-1 holomorphic map $F_0 : \mathbf{B}_1^m \rightarrow U$ so that $F_0(\mathbf{B}_1^m) \supset F_1(\mathbf{B}_{sr_1}^m) \cup_{j>1} F_j\left(\mathbf{B}_{\frac{sr_j}{32}}^m\right)$*

Proof of the Corollary: We prove the Corollary by induction. By the Lemma, the result holds for $N = 2$. Assume the result holds for some $N > 1$. We will show that the result holds for $N + 1$. Let $F_j : \mathbf{B}_{r_j}^m \rightarrow U, j = 1, 2, \dots, N + 1$ be finitely many 1-1 holomorphic maps with $F_i(\mathbf{B}_{r_i}^m) \cap F_j(\mathbf{B}_{r_j}^m) = \emptyset \forall i \neq j$. Let $0 < s < 1$. Pick a $\sigma, s < \sigma < 1$. Define $U_1 := U \setminus \overline{F_{N+1}(\mathbf{B}_{\sigma r_{N+1}}^m)}$. Then U_1 is a connected domain and $F_j : \mathbf{B}_{r_j}^m \rightarrow U_1, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ are finitely many 1-1 holomorphic maps with $F_i(\mathbf{B}_{r_i}^m) \cap F_j(\mathbf{B}_{r_j}^m) = \emptyset \forall i \neq j, i, j \leq N$. Hence the inductive hypothesis applies to find a holomorphic map $F_0 : \mathbf{B}_1^m \rightarrow U_1$ so that $F_0(\mathbf{B}_1^m) \supset F_1(\mathbf{B}_{\sigma r_1}^m) \cup_{1 < j \leq N} F_j\left(\mathbf{B}_{\frac{\sigma r_j}{32}}^m\right)$. It follows that the two maps $F_0 : \mathbf{B}_1^m \rightarrow U$ and $F_{N+1} : \mathbf{B}_{\sigma r_{N+1}}^m \rightarrow U$ have disjoint range. Next, let $\lambda, s < \lambda < \sigma$ be arbitrary and pick $0 < \tau < 1$ large enough, then $F_0(\mathbf{B}_\tau^m) \supset F_1(\mathbf{B}_{\lambda r_1}^m) \cup_{1 < j \leq N} F_j\left(\mathbf{B}_{\frac{\lambda r_j}{32}}^m\right)$.

Next we pick $\mu, 0 < \mu < 1$ so large that

$$F_0(\mathbf{B}_{\mu\tau}^m) \supset F_1(\mathbf{B}_{sr_1}^m) \cup_{1 < j \leq N} F_j\left(\mathbf{B}_{\frac{sr_j}{32}}^m\right).$$

We apply the Lemma to the maps $F_0 : \mathbf{B}_\tau^m \rightarrow U$ and $F_{N+1} : \mathbf{B}_{\sigma r_{N+1}}^m \rightarrow U$. Pick $s', 0 < s' < 1$ so that $\mu < s'$ and $s < s'\sigma$. Then there exists by Lemma 2.1 a 1-1 holomorphic map $F : \mathbf{B}_1^m \rightarrow U$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathbf{B}_1^m) &\supset F_0(\mathbf{B}_{s'\tau}^m) \cup F_{N+1}\left(\mathbf{B}_{\frac{s'\sigma r_{N+1}}{32}}^m\right) \\ &\supset F_0(\mathbf{B}_{\mu\tau}^m) \cup F_{N+1}\left(\mathbf{B}_{\frac{sr_{N+1}}{32}}^m\right) \\ &\supset F_1(\mathbf{B}_{sr_1}^m) \cup_{1 < j \leq N} F_j\left(\mathbf{B}_{\frac{sr_j}{32}}^m\right) \cup F_{N+1}\left(\mathbf{B}_{\frac{s'r_{N+1}}{32}}^m\right) \end{aligned}$$
■

Let C denote a cube in $\mathbb{C}^m$ and let B denote a maximal concentric ball in C . We let $\rho := \rho_m := \frac{|B|}{|C|}$. Here $|x|$ denotes the volume of x . Obviously ρ_m is well-defined, *i.e.* independent of the size of C .

LEMMA 2.3. *Let V denote a bounded open set in $\mathbb{C}^m$. Then there exists a finite number of disjoint balls $B_i \subset V$ so that $|\cup B_i| > \frac{\rho|V|}{2}$.*

Proof: We can cover $\mathbb{C}^m$ by a grid of cubes so that the volume of the collection of cubes entirely contained in V has volume at least $\frac{|V|}{2}$. Then pick the largest concentric ball in each of these cubes. ■

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $F : \mathbf{B}_1^m \rightarrow U$ denote a $1-1$ holomorphic map into a connected bounded open set $U \subset \mathbb{C}^m$. Let $0 < s < 1$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists a $1-1$ holomorphic map $F' : \mathbf{B}_1^m \rightarrow U$ so that $F'(\mathbf{B}_1^m) \supset F(\mathbf{B}_s^m)$ and*

$$|F'(\mathbf{B}_1^m)| \geq |F(\mathbf{B}_1^m)| + \frac{\rho}{2 * (32)^{2m}} (|U| - |F(\mathbf{B}_1^m)|) - \epsilon.$$

Proof: If $r, 0 < s < r < 1$ is large enough, then the volume of $F(\mathbf{B}_1^m \setminus \mathbf{B}_r^m)$ is at most $\epsilon/2$. The set $V : U \setminus F(\overline{\mathbf{B}_r^m})$ is connected. By Lemma 2.3 we can find finitely many disjoint balls B_j in V with total volume at least $\frac{\rho|V|}{2}$. Applying Corollary 2.2 to these balls $B_j^m(p_j, r_j)$ and the ball $F(\mathbf{B}_r^m)$ we find a $1-1$ holomorphic image $F'(\mathbf{B}_1^m)$ of the unit ball in U which contains $F(\mathbf{B}_{\sigma r}^m)$ and $B_j^m(p_j, \frac{\sigma r_j}{32})$ for any $\sigma, 0 < \sigma < 1, s < \sigma r$ we want. By choosing σ large enough, we can suppose that the volume of $F'(\mathbf{B}_1^m)$ is at least

$$\begin{aligned} |F'(\mathbf{B}_1^m)| &\geq |F(\mathbf{B}_r^m)| + \frac{\rho|V|}{2 * (32)^{2m}} - \epsilon/2 \\ &\geq |F(\mathbf{B}_1^m)| + \frac{\rho|V|}{2 * (32)^{2m}} - \epsilon \\ &\geq |F(\mathbf{B}_1^m)| + \frac{\rho(|U| - |F(\mathbf{B}_1^m)|)}{2 * (32)^{2m}} - \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By the choice of σ , we also have that $F'(\mathbf{B}_1^m) \supset F(\mathbf{B}_{\sigma r}^m) \supset F(\mathbf{B}_s^m)$. ■

COROLLARY 2.5. *Let U be a bounded connected open set in $\mathbb{C}^m$. Then there exists a sequence of $1-1$ holomorphic maps $F_j : \mathbf{B}_1^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ and numbers $0 < s_j < 1, j = 1, \dots$ so that*

- (i) $F_{j+1}(\mathbf{B}_{s_{j+1}}^m) \supset F_j(\mathbf{B}_{s_j}^m) \forall j \geq 1$
- (ii) $|F_{j+1}(\mathbf{B}_{s_{j+1}}^m)| \geq |F_j(\mathbf{B}_{s_j}^m)| + \frac{\rho}{3 * (32)^{2m}} (|U| - |F_j(\mathbf{B}_{s_j}^m)|) \forall j \geq 1.$

Proof: We prove the Corollary by induction. Let $F_1 : \mathbf{B}_1^m \rightarrow U$ be an arbitrary ball, set $s_1 = 1/2$. Suppose we have found $F_1, \dots, F_j$ and $s_1, \dots, s_j$. We apply Lemma 2.4 to the map $F = F_j$ and $s = \frac{s_j+1}{2} > s_j$. Let $\epsilon = \frac{\rho}{12 * (32)^{2m}} (|U| - |F_j(\mathbf{B}_{s_j}^m)|)$.

Then pick F' as in Lemma 2.4 and set $F_{j+1} = F'$ Then

$$F_{j+1}(\mathbf{B}_1^m) \supset F_j(\mathbf{B}_s^m) \supset F_j(\mathbf{B}_{s_j}^m) \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{j+1}(\mathbf{B}_1^m)| &\geq |F_j(\mathbf{B}_1^m)| + \frac{\rho}{2 * (32)^{2m}} (|U| - |F_j(\mathbf{B}_1^m)|) - \epsilon \\ &= |F_j(\mathbf{B}_1^m)| \left(1 - \frac{\rho}{2 * (32)^{2m}}\right) + \frac{\rho}{2 * (32)^{2m}} |U| - \epsilon \\ &\geq |F_j(\mathbf{B}_{s_j}^m)| \left(1 - \frac{\rho}{2 * (32)^{2m}}\right) + \frac{\rho}{2 * (32)^{2m}} |U| - \epsilon \\ &= |F_j(\mathbf{B}_{s_j}^m)| + \frac{\rho}{2 * (32)^{2m}} (|U| - |F_j(\mathbf{B}_{s_j}^m)|) - \epsilon \\ &= |F_j(\mathbf{B}_{s_j}^m)| + \frac{5\rho}{(12) * (32)^{2m}} (|U| - |F_j(\mathbf{B}_{s_j}^m)|) \\ &> |F_j(\mathbf{B}_{s_j}^m)| + \frac{\rho}{3 * (32)^{2m}} (|U| - |F_j(\mathbf{B}_{s_j}^m)|) \end{aligned}$$

Finally we choose $0 < s_{j+1} < 1$ large enough that

$$F_{j+1}(\mathbf{B}_{s_{j+1}}^m) \supset F_j(\mathbf{B}_{s_j}^m) \text{ and}$$

$$|F_{j+1}(\mathbf{B}_{s_{j+1}}^m)| \geq |F_j(\mathbf{B}_{s_j}^m)| + \frac{\rho}{3 * (32)^{2m}} (|U| - |F_j(\mathbf{B}_{s_j}^m)|)$$

■

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let U be a bounded connected open set in $\mathbb{C}^m$. Then there exists a sequence of $1-1$ holomorphic maps $F_j : \mathbf{B}_1^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ and numbers $0 < s_j < 1, j = 1, \dots$ so that*

- (i) $F_{j+1}(\mathbf{B}_{s_{j+1}}^m) \supset F_j(\mathbf{B}_{s_j}^m) \forall j \geq 1$
- (ii) $|F_j(\mathbf{B}_{s_j}^m)| \rightarrow |U|$

Proof: Let F_j and s_j be as in the Corollary 2.5. Set $A := \lim_j |F_j(\mathbf{B}_{s_j}^m)|$. From (ii) it follows that

$$|U| \geq A \geq A + \frac{\rho}{3 * (32)^{2m}} (|U| - A).$$

This is impossible if $A < |U|$.

■

Completion of the Proof of Theorem 1.4: The union $\cup_j F_j(\mathbf{B}_{s_j}^m)$ is biholomorphic to the unit ball. This follows from [FS1] since the union is contained in a Kobayashi hyperbolic set.

■

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

We prove first Theorem 1.5.

Proof: Let c be a Lipschitz constant of ϕ . Then

$$\frac{|\phi(v, z) - \phi(v_0, z_0)|}{\|(v, z) - (v_0, z_0)\|} \leq c.$$

This is equivalent to the following: Let $\Gamma = \{u = \phi(v, z)\}$ and choose a point $(u_0 + iv_0, z_0) \in \Gamma$, then Γ does not intersect the cone

$$\mathcal{C}_{(z_0, u_0, v_0)} := \{(z, u + iv); |u - u_0| > c\|(z, v) - (z_0, v_0)\|.$$

in the point $(u_0 + iv_0, z_0)$. Moreover let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{C}^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\|(\alpha, \beta)\| < \frac{1}{c}$, then all points $(z_0, u_0 + iv_0) - t(\alpha, 1 + i\beta)$ where $0 < t$ are contained in Ω . Let

$$H_{t, \alpha, \beta}(z, u + iv) = (z, u + iv) - t(\alpha, 1 + i\beta).$$

For fixed t, α and β this is a holomorphic map and

$$(*) \quad H_{t, \alpha, \beta}(\Omega) \subset \Omega.$$

We want to show that $\tilde{\Omega}$ also has this property $(*)$. Since Ω is bounded by a graph it follows that $\tilde{\Omega}$ is schlicht and also is bounded by a graph (see [S]). In fact, $\tilde{\Omega} = \cup_{j=0}^{\infty} \Omega_j$, $\Omega_0 = \Omega$, where Ω_j consists of all the points that can be reached from Ω_{j-1} by pushing discs with boundaries in Ω_{j-1} . We make this more precise: Let H denote the Hartogs figure

$$H := \{p \in \overline{\Delta}_0 \cup \cup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \partial \Delta_s\}, \quad \Delta_s = \{(z, t) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}; t = s, |z| \leq 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2.$$

Moreover, $\hat{H}$ denotes the convex hull of H . Let Φ denote any rank 2, one-to-one holomorphic map defined on some (not fixed) neighborhood of $\hat{H}$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$ with values in $\mathbb{C}^m$. Then

$$\Omega_j := \cup_{\{\Phi(H) \subset \Omega_{j-1}\}} \Phi(\hat{H}).$$

Now we only need to show that if Ω_{j-1} has property $(*)$ so does Ω_j .

Observe that if $\Phi(H) \subset \Omega_{j-1}$, then $H_{t, \alpha, \beta}(\Phi(H)) = (H_{t, \alpha, \beta} \circ \Phi)(H) \subset \Omega_{j-1}$. Hence if $p \in \Omega_j$, then $H_{t, \alpha, \beta}(p) \in \Omega_j$.

Now $\partial \tilde{\Omega} = \tilde{\Gamma}$ where

$$\tilde{\Gamma} = \{(z, u + iv); u = \tilde{\phi}(z, v)\}.$$

Obviously, by $(*)$, if $\tilde{\phi}(z, v)$ is infinite for some (z, v) , then $\tilde{\phi} \equiv \infty$ and we are done. We assume hence that $\tilde{\phi}$ has values in $\mathbb{R}$ only.

We need to show that if $(z_0, u_0 + iv_0) \in \tilde{\Gamma}$, then $\tilde{\Gamma}$ does not intersect the cone $\mathcal{C}_{(z_0, u_0, v_0)}$.

We know that the cone does not meet $\tilde{\Gamma}$ in points $(z, u + iv)$ where $u < u_0$ since these are interior points of $\tilde{\Omega}$.

Assume that $(z_1, u_1 + iv_1) \in \tilde{\Gamma} \cap \mathcal{C}_{(z_0, u_0, v_0)}$ and $u_1 > u_0$. We want to show that this implies that $(z_0, u_0 + iv_0) \in \tilde{\Omega}$ which contradicts the fact that this is a boundary point. But the fact that $(z_1, u_1 + iv_1) \in \partial\tilde{\Omega}$ implies that the set

$$\{(z, u + iv) = (z_1, u_1 + iv_1) - t(1, \alpha, \beta); \|(\alpha, \beta)\| < \frac{1}{c}, 0 < t < 1\}$$

is contained in $\tilde{\Omega}$ and a simple computation shows that $(z_0, u_0 + iv_0)$ is an interior point of this set. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.3: Pick a point $p \in \partial\Omega$. If p is an interior point of the envelope of holomorphy then we are done. So suppose not. Since Ω has Lipschitz boundary, we can rotate and translate coordinates so that $p = 0$ and Ω is locally given by $\Omega = \{(z, u + iv); u < \phi(z, v), \|(z, v)\| < \rho\}$ for some Lipschitz function ϕ . let $A > \phi(0)$ and pick $L > 0$ large enough that $A - L\|(z, v)\| < \phi(z, v)$ if $\rho/2 < \|(z, v)\| < \rho$. We globalize Ω by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega' = & \{(z, u + iv) \in \mathbb{C}^m; u - L\|(z, v)\| \text{ if } \|(z, v)\| > \rho/2, \\ & u < \min\{A - L\|(z, v)\|, \phi(z, v)\} \text{ if } \|(z, v)\| \leq \rho/2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\tilde{\Omega}'$ be the envelope of holomorphy of Ω' , $\tilde{\Omega}' = \{u < \tilde{\phi}(z, v)\}$, we get $\tilde{\phi}(0) = \phi(0) = 0, \tilde{\phi} \geq \phi$ near 0. By a theorem by Demailly ([De]) it follows that there is a locally defined continuous function ρ on the set $\{u \leq \tilde{\phi}(z, v)\}$ which is plurisubharmonic on $\{u < \phi(z, v)\} \subset \{u < \tilde{\phi}(z, v)\}$ and with $\rho(0) = 0$. ■

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We prove the theorem in steps.

Step 1:

Let R' be the closed rectangle in $\mathbb{C}$ with corners

$$(0, 0), (2\sqrt{2}\pi, 0), (0, 4\pi), (2\sqrt{2}\pi, 4\pi).$$

This is covered by two closed rectangles R'_1, R'_2 where R'_1 has corners

$$(0, 0), (2\sqrt{2}\pi, 0), (0, 2\pi), (2\sqrt{2}\pi, 2\pi)$$

and R'_2 has corners $(0, 2\pi), (2\sqrt{2}\pi, 2\pi), (0, 4\pi), (2\sqrt{2}\pi, 4\pi)$.

Let $F_1 : R'_1 \rightarrow R', F_1(z) = \sqrt{2}iz + 2\sqrt{2}\pi$ and $F_2 : R'_2 \rightarrow R', F_2(z) = \sqrt{2}iz + 4\sqrt{2}\pi$. Then the F_j are homeomorphisms. Next let R, R_j denote the interiors of the same rectangles. Let $\Omega := R_1 \cup R_2$ and set $F : \Omega \rightarrow R, F(z) = F_1(z), z \in R_1, F(z) = F_2(z), z \in R_2$. Let p be any point in Ω and let $U \subset \Omega$ be some neighborhood of p . Then there exists a connected open subset $V \subset U$ and an integer $N \geq 1$ so that $F^j(V) \subset \Omega$ for each $1 \leq j \leq N, F^N(V) = R_1$ or R_2 and hence $\Omega \subset F^{N+1}(V)$. From this observation it is easy to inductively find a dense orbit $\{p_n\}$ in Ω . Moreover,

we can choose $\{p_n\}$ to be contained in any open subset of full measure or just dense.

Step 2:

Let D denote a disc,

$$D := \{w \in \mathbb{C}; 1 < |w| < e^{2\sqrt{2}\pi}, w \notin \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus 0\}.$$

Then the maps $\tau_j : R_j \rightarrow D$, $\tau_j(z) = e^z$ are biholomorphisms, $j = 1, 2$. Suppose that $z_j \in R_j$, $z_2 = z_1 + 2\pi i$. Then $\tau_1(z_1) = \tau_2(z_2)$. Notice also that

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(z_2) &= \sqrt{2}iz_2 + 4\sqrt{2}\pi \\ &= \sqrt{2}iz_1 - 2\sqrt{2}\pi + 4\sqrt{2}\pi \\ &= F_1(z_1) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can define $\Phi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\Phi(w) = e^{F_j(z_j)}$, $j = 1$ or 2 , $w = e^{z_j}$, $z_j \in R_j$. Then Φ is a well defined holomorphic map. Let $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a dense orbit of F in Ω . Define $q_n := e^{p_n} \in D$. Then $\Phi(q_n) = e^{F_j(p_n)}$ if $p_n \in R_j$. Hence $\Phi(q_n) = e^{F(p_n)} = e^{p_{n+1}} = q_{n+1}$. Hence $\{q_n\} \subset D$ and is a dense orbit for Φ .

Step 3:

Let $D^m := D \times \cdots \times D$, m times and define $\Psi : D^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ by $\Psi(z_1, \dots, z_m) = (\Phi(z_1), \dots, \Phi(z_m))$. Then we can find a dense sequence $\{p_n\} \subset D^m$ contained in any given dense open subset.

Step 4:

To finish the proof of Theorem 1.2 we use Theorem 1.4 to find an open subset of D^m of full measure and biholomorphic to the unit ball.

■

5. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

To prove the Theorem, we assume to the contrary that there are such V and $\{p_n\}$. We can assume V is connected and $(0) \in V$. We let $\tilde{\Omega}$ denote the (possibly multisheeted) envelope of holomorphy of Ω and $\Omega^* \subset \tilde{\Omega}$ consists of $\Omega \cup \{q \in \partial\Omega; q \in \tilde{\Omega}\}$. The map F extends holomorphically to a map $\tilde{F} : \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$. We denote by F^* the restriction of $\tilde{F}$ to Ω^* . So F^* is a continuous extension of F to Ω^* and in turn F^* extends holomorphically. We define $\Omega_k := \{z = z_0 \in \Omega; z_1 := F^*(z) \in \Omega^*, z_2 := F^*(z_1) \in \Omega^*, \dots, z_k := F^*(z_{k-1}) \in \Omega^*\}$. Note that in general the Ω_k are not open sets but the maps $(\tilde{F})^{k+1}$ are well defined holomorphic maps in small neighborhoods of the Ω_k .

LEMMA 5.1. *We have that $V \subset \Omega_k$ for all k .*

Proof of the Lemma: We show first that $F(V) \subset \Omega_1$. If $p_k \in V$, then $F(p_k) = p_{k+1} \in \Omega$. Since $\{p_n\} \cap V$ is dense in V , it follows by continuity that

$F(V) \subset \overline{\Omega}$. Suppose there is a point $q = F(p) \in \partial\Omega, p \in V$. We will show that $q \in \Omega^*$. If $q \notin \Omega^*$, we can find, by Theorem 1.3, an open neighborhood $U(q)$ and a continuous function $\rho : U \cap \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow (-\infty, 0]$ which is plurisubharmonic on $U \cap \Omega$, $\rho < 0$ on $U \cap \Omega$ and $\rho(q) = 0$. Since Ω has Lipschitz boundary, we can find an outward vector $\mathbf{n}$ in a possibly smaller neighborhood of q . Let $\Phi_\epsilon, \epsilon > 0$ denote the inward translation by ϵ in the direction of $\mathbf{n}$. Then there exists a fixed neighborhood $q \in W \subset V$ so that $\rho \circ \Phi_\epsilon \circ F$ is plurisubharmonic on W for all small enough ϵ and moreover $\rho \circ \Phi_\epsilon \circ F \rightarrow \rho \circ F$ uniformly as $\epsilon \searrow 0$. It follows that $\rho \circ F$ is plurisubharmonic on W and since this function takes its maximum at q it must be constant on W . This is a contradiction since $\rho \circ F < 0$ at each point of $\{p_n\} \cap U$. This shows that $V \subset \Omega_1$.

Suppose we have shown that $V \subset \Omega_k$. Then F^{k+1} extends holomorphically to V . The same argument as above shows that the image of the extension is contained in $\overline{\Omega}$. Furthermore, applying theorem 1.3 again in the same way as above, we get that the image is actually contained in Ω^* . This shows that $V \subset \Omega_{k+1}$, completing the induction. ■

Proof of the Theorem:

Since $(0) \in V$, we can choose sequences $m(k) < \ell(k)$ so that $p_{m(k)}, p_{\ell(k)} \rightarrow 0$. We set $n(k) = \ell(k) - m(k)$. We can assume that $n(k) \nearrow \infty$. By the above Lemma, the map $F^{n(k)}$ has a holomorphic extension to V and $F^{n(k)}(p_{m(k)}) = p_{\ell(k)}$. Restricting to a thinner subsequence if necessary, we can assume that $F^{n(k)} \rightarrow G : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$, $G(0) = 0$.

For any positive integer τ the sequence $F^{\tau n(k)} = (F^{n(k)})^\tau$ converges in a small enough neighborhood to G^τ and by a normal families argument, this convergence extends to all of V and a suitable extension of G^τ to V . The image of V is still contained in $\overline{\Omega}$.

We get:

LEMMA 5.2. *Suppose that $F^{n_k} : V \rightarrow \overline{\Omega}$ is any sequence of iterates converging to some map $G : V \rightarrow \Omega, G(0) = 0$, then all iterates G^τ can also be analytically continued from 0 to a map from V to $\overline{\Omega}$. Moreover, if G^{τ_s} is any convergent subsequence to a map $H : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$, then there is a subsequence $F^{m(s)}$ converging to H on V , moreover, $H(0) = 0, H(V) \subset \overline{\Omega}$.*

Using this Lemma we can refine the properties of a limit G of some subsequence F^{n_k} .

Let $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^m$ denote the eigenvalues of $G'(0)$. Since $G^\tau(V) \subset \overline{\Omega}$ for all τ , it follows that all eigenvalues have modulus ≤ 1 . We can assume that $1 \geq |\lambda_1| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_m|$. Using the Lemma again, we can assume that $\lambda_i = 1, i \leq j, \lambda_i = 0, i > j$ for some $0 \leq j \leq m$. If we put the matrix $G'(0)$ in Jordan normal form, we see that for the blocks with eigenvalue 1 there can be no off diagonal term because these would grow under iteration violating Schwarz's Lemma. Also if we look at the off diagonal

terms for blocks with eigenvalue zero, these vanish after iteration, so hence we can assume that there are no off-diagonal terms.

Case (i): $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_m = 0$.

Then there exists $0 < s < r$ and k sufficiently large that $F^{n_k}(\mathbf{B}(0, r)) \subset \mathbf{B}(0, s) \subset \mathbf{B}(0, r) \subset V$ and $\|(F^{n_k})'(a)(v)\| \leq c\|v\|$ for some $c < 1$ and for all $a \in \mathbf{B}(0, r)$. Hence F^{n_k} is a contraction and hence has an attracting fixed point. Therefore F has an attracting periodic orbit. This is impossible since there is a dense orbit.

Case (ii): $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_m = 1$.

In this case $G'(0) = \text{Id}$. If G has nonzero higher order terms in the Taylor expansion around the origin, the iterates of G cannot be a normal family on V , so $G(z) \equiv z$ on V .

Let $\hat{V} := V \cup \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \tilde{F}^n(V)$. Then $\hat{V}$ is open and $\tilde{F}(\hat{V}) \subset \hat{V}$.

LEMMA 5.3. *The set $\hat{V}$ has finitely many connected components $U_1, \dots, U_r = U_1$ with $\tilde{F}(U_i) \subset U_{i+1}$. In fact the map $\tilde{F} : U_i \rightarrow U_{i+1}$ is a biholomorphism and $\tilde{F}^{n_k} \rightarrow \text{Id}$ on each U_i .*

Proof of the Lemma: Clearly $\tilde{F}^m$ must be $1 - 1$ on V for each iterate $\tilde{F}^m$. Hence the Jacobians of each iterate is everywhere nonzero and each iterate is an open map. Hence $\hat{V}$ is an open set. Moreover, $\tilde{F}(\hat{V}) \subset \hat{V}$. In particular, each connected component is mapped into another connected component by $\tilde{F}$. Let U_1 be the connected component containing V and define inductively connected components U_i by $\tilde{F}(U_i) \subset U_{i+1}$. Since $\tilde{F}^{n_k} \rightarrow \text{Id}$ on V it follows that for a minimal $r, U_r = U_1$. Hence there are only finitely many connected components. The condition that $\tilde{F}^{n_k} \rightarrow \text{Id}$ on V implies that $F : \hat{V} \rightarrow \hat{V}$ is onto. Hence each map $\tilde{F} : U_i \rightarrow U_{i+1}$ is onto. By the identity theorem it follows that $\tilde{F}^{n_k} \rightarrow \text{Id}$ on each U_i . Hence $\tilde{F} : U_i \rightarrow U_{i+1}$ is $1 - 1$ and hence a biholomorphism. ■

This implies that $\tilde{F}^r : U_1 \rightarrow U_1$ is an automorphism with a dense orbit of a bounded domain. The automorphism group is a Lie group and the closure of the set of iterates of $\tilde{F}^r$ is a commutative closed subgroup, isomorphic to a product of a torus and Euclidean space and a finite commutative group. Since there is a sequence of iterates of $\tilde{F}^r$ converging to the identity, the Euclidean component must vanish, but then the orbit of any point is nowhere dense, a contradiction.

Case (iii): $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_j = 1, \lambda_{j+1} = \dots = \lambda_m = 0$ for some $1 \leq j < m$. Then G is of the form

$$(**) \quad G(z_1, \dots, z_m) = (z_1 + f_1, \dots, z_j + f_j, f_{j+1}, \dots, f_m), f_i = \mathcal{O}(\|z\|^2)$$

Fix a small neighborhood $W, 0 \in W \subset \subset U_1$. Let $U \subset \subset W$ and suppose that $\tilde{F}^r(U) \subset W$. Then we have the functional equation

$$\tilde{F}^r \circ G(z) = G \circ \tilde{F}^r(z), z \in W.$$

Let $z_\delta := (0, \dots, 0, \delta)$ for small enough $\delta \neq 0$. Using the density of orbits and equicontinuity there exists for any $\epsilon > 0$ a neighborhood $U(0) \subset W$ and an integer $r_\epsilon > 1$ so that $\|\tilde{F}^{r_\epsilon}(0) - z_\delta\| \leq \epsilon$ and $\tilde{F}^{r_\epsilon}(U) \subset W$. Since $G(0) = 0$, $\tilde{F}^{r_\epsilon}(0) = G(\tilde{F}^{r_\epsilon}(0))$. This is clearly impossible by (**). This completes the proof. ■

Remark 5.4. *We can relax the Lipschitz condition of The Main Theorem if we add more hypotheses on F : Let Ω be any domain with $\Omega = \text{int}(\overline{\Omega})$ and assume in addition that the map F has discrete fibers. Then F has no dense orbit.*

REFERENCES

- [C] Cerveau, D; Personal communication.
- [D] Demailly, J. P; *Mesures de Monge-Ampère et mesures pluriharmoniques*, Math. Z. 194 (1987) 519–564.
- [FS1] Fornæss, J.E., Stout, E. L.; *Polydiscs in Complex Manifolds*, Math. Ann. 227 (1977), 145–153.
- [FS2] Fornæss, J.E., Stout, E. L; *Regular holomorphic images of balls*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble 32 (1982), 23–36.
- [S] Stensønes, B; *Ph. D thesis*, Princeton University (1985).

John Erik Fornæss, Berit Stensønes
 Mathematics Department
 East Hall
 University of Michigan
 Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109
 USA
 fornaess@umich.edu, berit@umich.edu